Solution to Problem Set 4

1. The problem of the firm is given by

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2_+} \{ w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 : y = \min\{\alpha x_1, \beta x_2\} \}$$

Then, at the optimum, we must have $\alpha x_1^* = \beta x_2^* = y$.

It follows that

$$x_1^* = \frac{y}{\alpha}$$
 and $x_2^* = \frac{y}{\beta}$.

Then,

$$C(w,y) = \frac{y}{\alpha}w_1 + \frac{y}{\beta}w_2 = y\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}w_1 + \frac{1}{\beta}w_2\right)$$

2. Notice that

$$f(x_1(w_1, w_2, y), x_2(w_1, w_2, y)) = y.$$

Differentiating this expression with respect to w_2 we det

$$f_1 \frac{\partial x_1(w_1, w_2, y)}{\partial w_2} + f_2 \frac{\partial x_2(w_1, w_2, y)}{\partial w_2} = 0.$$

We know that $f_1 > 0$, $f_2 > 0$, and $\frac{\partial x_2(w_1, w_2, y)}{\partial w_2} \leq 0$. Thus, we must have $\frac{\partial x_1(w_1, w_2, y)}{\partial w_2} \geq 0$.

3. (a. and b. together) The objective function is given by

$$\pi = pf(x_1, x_2) - w_1 x_1 - w_2 x_2.$$

The first order conditions are given by

$$pf_1(x_1^*, x_2^*) - w_1 x_1^* = 0$$

$$pf_2(x_1^*, x_2^*) - w_2 x_2^* = 0$$

Differentiating with respect to w_1 , we get

$$pf_{11} \frac{\partial x_1^*}{\partial w_1} - pf_{12} \frac{\partial x_2^*}{\partial w_1} = 1$$

$$pf_{21} \frac{\partial x_1^*}{\partial w_1} - pf_{22} \frac{\partial x_2^*}{\partial w_1} = 0$$

By Crammer's rule,

$$\frac{\partial x_1^*}{\partial w_1} = \frac{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & pf_{12} \\ 0 & pf_{22} \end{bmatrix} \\ \frac{pf_{11} & pf_{12}}{0 & pf_{22}} \end{bmatrix} \le 0$$

$$\frac{\partial x_{2}^{*}}{\partial w_{1}} = \frac{ \begin{array}{c|c} -pf_{21} \\ \hline pf_{11} & 1 \\ pf_{21} & 0 \\ \hline \\ pf_{11} & pf_{12} \\ pf_{21} & pf_{22} \\ \end{array}} \\ \Rightarrow sgn(\frac{\partial x_{2}^{*}}{\partial w_{1}}) = sgn(-pf_{21}).$$

 ≥ 0 by concavity of f. We assume $\neq 0$

It follows that

$$\frac{\partial x_2^*}{\partial w_1} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \geq 0 & \text{if} & f_{21} \leq 0 \\ \leq 0 & \text{if} & f_{21} \geq 0 \end{array} \right..$$

Notice that $f_{21} \ge 0$ means that inputs are complements to each other.

4. Since f is strictly concave, $\forall t > 1$

$$f\left(\frac{1}{t}x + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)x'\right) > \frac{1}{t}f(x) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)f(x') \qquad \forall x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$$

Let x' = 0, then

$$f\left(\frac{1}{t}x\right) > \frac{1}{t}f(x) \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+.$$

Let $\frac{1}{t}x = x''$, so that x = tx''. Then

$$tf(x'') > f(tx'')$$
 $\forall x'' \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \text{ and } \forall t > 1$

which means that f has decreasing returns to scale.